

# Tri-state Salinity Management Policy Comparison for the Mallee Region



## Tri-state comparisons of water and salinity management

Water and Land Management Acts in all states (New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia) provide for strong management of the impacts of water use, including salinity impacts.

Water and use entitlements in the Mallee areas of all three states have been 'unbundled'.

Consistent with the level of development, Victoria has the most detailed arrangements for managing the impacts of salinity, including salinity zones, salinity offset charges and detailed arrangements for management of irrigation developments.

South Australia also has comprehensive and specific for arrangements salinity management, including a Salinity Zoning Policy with salinity impact zones and corresponding salinity related rules for development. Some case management irrigation development occurs for developments, but irrigation development process is not written up into a single document.

New South Wales does not have

salinity zones and associated charges. However a risk based approach that uses the Salinity Impact Rapid Assessment Tool (SIMRAT) as the 'triage' tool for assessment of preliminary salinity impacts for new development proposals is utilised. More detailed assessments are required potential salinity impacts identified. The application form for water use and works approvals provides guidance in relation to information and approval requirements for developments.

Photo Left: Psyche Bend Lagoon, Mildura. Asitha Katupitiya MDBA

#### **Cost Shares**

Each state has a different cost share arrangement for salinity management. In South Australia the government pays for construction and operation and maintenance (O&M) of salt interception schemes. In Victoria, irrigators pay the capital and O&M costs of offsetting salinity impacts

#### At a glance

The three states (VIC, SA & NSW) all have unbundled water entitlements and manage salinity effects on the river Murray differently in the Mallee region.





associated with new development. Victoria also shares between its Water Resource provider and the state the costs of salinity interception. In New South Wales the government pays for construction and O&M of salt interception although schemes some of its contribution River recovered for Murray operations from irrigators.

#### **Community Participation**

Community knowledge and participation in salinity management has declined in all states since the 1990s as salinity issues have been brought under control. South Australia Victoria see a need to raise awareness and participation levels in salinity management and to maintain support for ongoing salinity management arrangements.

Community demand for salinity management and project advice

remains high in NSW, with a need to continue to develop salinity information and products to support the delivery of current NSW policy and intergovernmental agreements.

#### **Continuous Improvement**

All states recognise the need to continually improve arrangements to manage the salinity impacts of irrigation development Mallee response the in dynamics of the irrigation sector and new directions in Basin Management 2030 Salinity (BSM2030) and the Basin Plan. South Australia and Victoria are currently reviewing their policy arrangements and NSW undertaken preliminary work in preparation for a review of its **NSW** Sunraysia Irrigation Development accountable action

Photo by Nolani McColl (2013) - MDBA

### Acknowledgment

This project was supported by the Mallee Catchment Management Authority (CMA) and the Department of Environment Land Water and Planning.

#### Further information

For more information regarding Salinity Management Policy contact the Mallee CMA on 03 5051 4377.

#### Published June 2018

This publication may be of assistance to you but the Mallee Catchment Management Authority refers readers to our Terms and Conditions, available from our website.

Printed on 80% recycled Australian paper, made from pre- and post-consumer waste.



